How to Assess Trustworthy AI with Z-inspection®

Roberto V. Zicari Z-Inspection® Initiative http://z-inspection.org

Graduate School of Data Science, SNU, Seoul

Z-inspection® is a registered trademark. The content of this work is open access distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons (Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike CC BY-NC-SA) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/4.0/)

1

Z-inspection[®] Process in a Nutshell



Ethical Tensions (photo RVZ, Venice Biennale)



Ethical Tensions

"We use the umbrella term 'tension' to refer to different ways in which values can be in conflict, some more fundamentally than others."

When we talk about tensions between values, we mean tensions between the pursuit of different values in technological applications rather than an abstract tension between the values themselves."

Identify Tensions and Trade-offs

CR Tensions may arise between ethical principles, for which there is no fixed solution.

In line with the EU fundamental commitment to democratic engagement, due process and open political participation, *methods of accountable deliberation to deal with such tensions should be established.*

source: *Ethics Guidelines for Trustworthy AI*. Independent High-Level Expert Group on Artificial Intelligence. European commission, 8 April, 2019

We use a Catalog of predefined ethical tensions

○ To help the process, especially as a help to experts who might have not sufficient knowledge in ethics, we used a sample of catalog of predefined ethical tensions.

Catalogue of Tensions

Accuracy vs. Fairness
Accuracy vs. Explainability
Privacy vs. Transparency
Quality of services vs. Privacy
Personalisation vs. Solidarity
Convenience vs. Dignity
Efficiency vs. Safety and Sustainability
Satisfaction of Preferences vs. Equality

Catalogue of Tensions

Accuracy *versus* Fairness:

"An AI system which is most accurate on average may systematically discriminate against a specific minority."

Tension

Using AI systems to make decisions and predictions more *accurate* versus *ensuring fair and equal treatment*.

Although it is highly accurate on average, it systematically discriminates against black defendants, because the 'false positives' – the rate of individuals classed as high risk who did not go on to reoffend – is almost twice as high for black as for white defendants."

If "the inner workings of the AI system is a trade secret of the company that produced it (and in any case is too complex for any individual to understand), the defendants have little to no recourse to challenging the verdict that have huge consequences on their lives. "

Catalogue of Tensions

Accuracy *versus* **Explainability**:

"the most accurate AI systems may be based on complex methods (such as deep learning), the internal logic of which its developers or users do not fully understand."

Catalogue of Tensions

Quality of Services *versus* **Privacy**:

"using personal data may improve public services by tailoring them based on personal characteristics or demographics, but compromise personal privacy because of high data demands."

Tension

(*) A Person or an organization that does not have enough money

Catalogue of Tensions

R Privacy *versus* Transparency:

"the need to respect privacy or intellectual property may make it difficult to provide fully satisfying information about an algorithm or the data on which it was trained."

Catalogue of Tensions

R Personalisation *versus* Solidarity:

"increasing personalisation of services and information may bring economic and individual benefits, but risks creating or furthering divisions and undermining community solidarity."

Source: Whittlestone, J et al (2019) – *Ethical and societal implications of algorithms, data, and artificial intelligence: a roadmap for research*. Whittlestone, J. Nyrup, R. Alexandrova, A. Dihal, K. Cave, S. (2019), *London*. Nuffield Foundation.

Tension

Reaping the benefits of increased personalisation in the digital sphere versus enhancing solidarity and citizenship.

"A company markets a new personalised insurance scheme, using an AI system trained on rich datasets that can differentiate between people in ways that are so fine-grained as to forecast effectively their future medical, educational, and care needs.

The company is thus able to offer fully individualised treatment, better suited to personal needs and preferences."

Source: Whittlestone, J et al (2019) – Ethical and societal implications of algorithms, data, and artificial intelligence: a roadmap for research. Whittlestone, J. Nyrup, R. Alexandrova, A. Dihal, K. Cave, S. (2019), London. Nuffield Foundation.

"The success of this scheme leads to the weakening of publicly funded services because the advantaged individuals no longer see reasons to support the ones with greater needs."

Catalogue of Tensions

Convenience *versus* **Dignity**:

"increasing automation and quantification could make lives more convenient, but risks undermining those unquantifiable values and skills that constitute human dignity and individuality."

Tension

"Its users gain unprecedented access to the fruits of human civilization but they no longer need to acquire and refine these skills through regular practice and experimentation.

Source: Whittlestone, J et al

Catalog of Tensions

Realistic Satisfaction of preferences *versus* Equality:

"automation and AI could invigorate industries and spearhead new technologies, but also exacerbate exclusion and poverty."

Source: Whittlestone, J et al (

How to Identify further tensions

"Thinking about tensions could also be enhanced by systematically considering different *ways* that tensions are likely to arise. "

Identifying further tensions

- Winners versus losers. Tensions sometimes arise because the costs and benefits of ADA-based technologies are unequally distributed across different groups and communities.
- Short term versus long term. Tensions can arise because values or opportunities that can be enhanced by ADA-based technologies in the short term may compromise other values in the long term.
- C A Local versus global. Tensions may arise when applications that are defensible from a narrow or individualistic view produce negative externalities, exacerbating existing collective action problems or creating new ones.

Resolving the tensions

Use a Classification of Ethical Tensions

○ True Ethical dilemma, i.e. "a conflict between two or more duties, obligations, or values, both of which an agent would ordinarily have reason to pursue but cannot";

- **False dilemmas**, i.e. "situations where there exists a third set of options beyond having to choose between two important values".

Source: Whittlestone, J et al (2019)

Trade Offs

Trade Offs

Examples of Trade Offs

○ Trade-off 1: Judging when it is acceptable to use an algorithm that performs worse for a specific subgroup, if that algorithm is more accurate on average across a population.

○ Trade-off 2: Judging how much we should restrict personalization of advertising and public services for the sake of preserving ideals of citizenship and solidarity.

Examples of Trade Offs

Source: Whittlestone, J et al (2019) – *Ethical and societal implications of algorithms, data, and artificial intelligence:*

How Trade Offs Should be made

In business and economics, solutions to trade-offs are traditionally derived using cost-benefit analysis (CBA): where all the costs and benefits of a given policy are converted to units on the same scale (be it monetary or some other utility scale such as well-being) and a recommendation is made on the basis of whether the benefits outweigh the costs.

Cons of CBA

Consultation and inclusive public deliberation

Reversion of a structure of a str

Trade Offs for Dilemmas in practice

In these situations we face a choice:

- To put the technology to use in its current state. In this case, we will need to determine and implement some legitimate trade-off that sacrifices one value for another. This will involve the same kind of work as described for true dilemmas.
- To hold off implementing this technology and instead invest in research on how to make it serve all the values we endorse equally and maximally.

Where specific predictive algorithms are currently used (e.g. in healthcare, crime, employment), to what extent do they discriminate against or disadvantage specific minorities?

In what specific ways might different forms of personalization undermine these important ideals in future? How can this be addressed or prevented?

Can personal data be used to improve the quality and efficiency of public services without compromising informational autonomy?

To what extent do current methods allow the use of personal data in aggregate for overall social benefits, while protecting the privacy of individuals' data?

Can we draw a clear line between contexts where automation will be beneficial or minimally harmful and tasks or abilities should not be automated?

Reference